

**PATENT**

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**  
(oracle01.013)

<b>Applicant:</b>	Alpern, et al.	<b>Confirmation No.:</b> 7766
<b>Application No:</b>	09/881,501	<b>Group Art Unit:</b> 2161
<b>Filed:</b>	6/14/01	<b>Examiner:</b> E.P. Leroux

**Title:** *Redirection of misses in queryable caches*

---

Commissioner for Patents  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**Response to a non-final Office action under 37 C.F.R. 1.111**

**Summary of the prosecution**

The above patent application was the subject of *Appeal 2008-001371*, decided December 17, 2009. The decision affirmed the Examiner in part. The claims remaining in the application are claims 5-24. The claims for which the rejections were affirmed were the independent claims 5 and 15 and the dependent claims 6, 10, 11, 19, 24. The remaining dependent claims were objected to as being dependent from rejected claims. The affirmed rejections of claims 5, 6, 10, 11, 15, 19, and 24 were rejections of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 102 as anticipated by U.S. 6,243,715, to Bogantz (hereinafter "Bogantz"). Applicants filed an RCE on 9 April 2010 in which they amended their claims to overcome the rejections and to improve their clarity.

On 25 May 2010, Applicants were notified of a non-final Office action in which Examiner objected to claims 8, 13, 17, and 22 as dependent from rejected base claims, rejected claims 6 and 19 under 35 U.S.C. 112, 1. par., as lacking support in the Specification as filed and therefore failing to comply with the written description requirement, rejected claims 5-7, 10-12, 15, 16, 19-21, and 24 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by Laux, U.S. patent application publication 2001/0004737 (hereinafter "Laux"), and rejected claims 9, 14, 18 and 23 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over